



A Peer Reviewed Research Journal



AN INTELLIGENT DATA-DRIVEN MODEL TO SECURE INTRAVEHICLE COMMUNICATIONS BASED ON MACHINE LEARNING

M. AMIT KUMAR¹, D SATHWIK REDDY², M A RAWOOF³, KOKKULA SAICHARAN⁴, BHARATH VARMA VADLAMUDI⁵

¹Assistant professor, Department of CSE, Malla Reddy College of Engineering

Hyderabad, TS, India.

^{2,3,4,5} UG students, Department of CSE, Malla Reddy College of Engineering Hyderabad, TS, India.

ABSTRACT:

The high relying of electric vehicles on either invehicle or between-vehicle communications can cause big issues in the system. This paper is going to mainly address the cyber attack in electric vehicles and propose a secured and reliable intelligent framework to avoid hackers from penetration into the vehicles. The proposed model is constructed based on an improved support vector machine model for anomaly detection based on the controller area network (CAN) bus protocol. In order to improve the capabilities of the model for fast malicious attack detection and avoidance, a new optimization algorithm based on social spider (SSO) algorithm is developed which will reinforce the training process at offline. Also, a two-stage modification method is proposed to increase the search ability of the algorithm and avoid premature convergence. Last but not least, the simulation results on the real data sets reveal the high performance, reliability and security of the proposed model against denial-of-service (DoS) hacking in the electric vehicles.

Keywords: CAN, Dos, SSO, ECU. **1. INTRODUCTION:**

Technically, vehicles are composed of many hardware modules namely called electronic control units (ECUs) being controlled by different software tools. All sensors installed in a vehicle will send their data to the ECU, where this data are processed and the requiring orders are sent to the relevant actuators [1]. Such a highly complex





A Peer Reviewed Research Journal



hardware software data transfer process may happen through the use of different network protocols such as CAN, LIN, FlexRay or MOST [2]. Among these protocols, CAN bus is the most popular one not only in vehicles, but also in medical apparatuses, agriculture, etc due to its high capability and promising characteristics. Some of the main advantages of the CAN bus standard may be briefly named as allowing up to 1Mbps data rate transfer, reducing the wiring in the device saving cost and time due to the simple wiring, auto retransmission of lost messages and error detection capability [3]. Unfortunately, since CAN bus protocol was devised at a time where vehicles were almost isolated, this standard suffers from some security issues in the new dynamic environment of smart grids. This will motivate the hackers to attack the electric vehicles through the ECU and inject malicious messages into their systems. In [4], some cyber intrusion scenarios are modeled and applied on the electric vehicles to assess their vulnerabilities and possible side effects getting finally into the power grid. In [5], a new classification method is developed for cyber intrusion detection in vehicles. In [6], a data intrusion detection system is developed which can detect the cyber attack based on the CAN bus message frequency increase or CAN message ID misuse. This will help the driver to detect that an attack has

happened so to stop the vehicle immediately. In [7], authors suggest that all CAN messages should pass a data management system to avoid any cyber intrusion. In [8], an algorithmic solution is used to stop attacks of types of denialof-service or error flag in the vehicle. In [9], it is suggested to assign an ECU as the master ECU in the manufacturing stage of the vehicle so to run an attestation process in the system. In [10], a firewall is introduced for the vehicle to sit between the CAN bus and the communicating system and stop the cyber attack commands to the CAN bus. In [11], an intrusion detection system based on the traffic entropy of in-vehicle network communication system of the CAN bus is suggested. In [12], an anomaly detection approach is developed which is capable of detecting faults of known and unknown type setting without requiring the of expert parameters.

This paper aims to propose an intelligent and highly secure method to equip the electric vehicles with a powerful anomaly detection and avoidance mechanism. The proposed method is constructed based on support vector machine and the concept of one-class detection system to avoid any malicious behavior in the vehicle [13]. Here the experimental CAN bus data are used to let the support vector machine learn the normal





S Crossref

A Peer Reviewed Research Journal

frequency of the different message frames at different commands. In order to get into the maximum capability of the model, a new optimization algorithm based on social spider optimization (SSO) algorithm is proposed to adjust the SVR setting parameters, properly [14]. Due to the high complexity and nonlinearity of the electric vehicle CAN bus dataset, a new twostage modification method based on crossover and mutation operators of genetic algorithm is developed which can increase the algorithm population diversity and at the same time avoid premature convergence. The feasibility and satisfying performance of the proposed model are examined using the real datasets gathered from an electric vehicle.

EXISTING SYSTEM:

In electric vehicles, CAN standard is the most widely used protocol by automakers for communications with low cost in the units with a high number of components, up to 500 million chips. In the vehicle industry, the CAN resiliency and noise resistance level is acceptable owing to its structure. Unfortunately, CAN bus protocols do not offer confidentiality and authentication to CAN data frames so making it possible for hackers to enter the vehicle system, either on a wired or wireless approach. In the wired approach, one can communicate with the CAN bus through the OBD-II maintenance port located under the steering in most vehicles. Although the main idea behind this port is to be used for diagnostics of engine and vehicle maintenance, but it will let hackers take the CAN packets using a simple scanning tool. From this point, it is easy to read and write traffic in the CAN bus with the use of ECOM API such as CAN Receive Message and CAN Transmit Message [10]. In the wireless attack, the cyber interfere is the same by targeting ECU except that the penetration point is not OBD-II. While the penetration points in the wireless hacking can be different, but in most of them it is required for the car to be connected to a malicious WIFI hotspot. Also, the security mechanism of the transponder can be reverse engineered in the keyless vehicles. The research reveals several weaknesses in the design of the cipher, the authentication protocol and also in their implementation. Some of the other wireless entry points to vehicles can be named as "Wireless connection between sensors and ECUs such as TPMS system", "Add-on technologies, entertainment system (gaming), smart key" and "Internet, smart infrastructures".

PROPOSED SYSTEM:

The last sections were mainly focusing on the proposed model, the theories and





Crossref

A Peer Reviewed Research Journal

backgrounds. In this section, the performance of the proposed model is examined using the experimental data gathered from an electric car. This paper assesses the DoS attack since is focusing on the vehicle intrait communication within which DoS has a high significance among different attacks. In the DoS attack, the hacker attempts to prevent legitimate users (driver) from accessing the service. Considering the fact that vehicles are mobile devices, DoS attack is so dangerous (and thus important) in vehicles since it can make severe car crash or losses. Examples of hackings achieved through the DoS attack in the vehicles are activating the brakes while the vehicle is in motion, turning the steering wheel to the left/right suddenly, turning off the engine, unlocking a door, etc. According to the analysis from the recorded CAN traffic during a normal driving time of 10-minute, each message frame with a specific ID has some unique frequencies which can be learned by the proposed anomaly detection model. In Table II, a set of CAN bus identifiers and frequencies is shown. In order to make sure that our model is learning all possible ID numbers, we had a complete trace

analysis. Therefore, after capturing the traffic log and implementing the trace analysis, it was realized that a 10-min driving scenario would capture the majority of the messages that are occurring commonly, owing to the fact that most of the CAN messages are periodic. Therefore, the model developed can be regarded as the proof-of-concept that shows the proposed anomaly detection model can learn the existing pattern in the CAN messages to distinguish between normal and anomalous behaviors in the testing phase. In order to make a realistic condition for the driving test, the CAN traffic file covers the following conditions: the engine ignition was turned on and the vehicle remained at a standstill for a few seconds and then the gear was engaged to "D" mode. Then, the vehicle is driven for about 8 minutes at a public street. For several times, the brake pedal is also pressed during the drive. The car is then stopped and the gear mode is changed to "R" to drive backwards a bit and make a parking maneuver. Finally, the gear moves to "P" mode so the vehicle would remain at the standstill for a few seconds and then the engine is turned off.





A Peer Reviewed Research Journal



Crossref



In above screen click on 'Upload CAN Bus Dataset' button and upload dataset

MODULES:

1. Upload CAN Bus Dataset' button and upload dataset

2. Run KNN Algorithm To Detect Anomaly' button to build KNN classifier train model to detect anomaly and evaluate its performance based on 4 indices

3. Run Conventional SVM To detect Anomaly' button to evaluate conventional SVM performance.

4. Propose SSO with SVM To detect Anomaly' button to run propose SSO with SVM classifier and evaluate its performance.

5. Classifiers Performance Graph' button to get performance graph between all classifiers

6. Predict Anomaly from Test Data' button to upload test data and predict it label

3. METHODOLOGY

To run project double click on 'run.bat' file to get below screen

Intellig Organize New folder	gentDataDrivenModel > dataset	v Ö Search dataset					
Organize · New folder				9			
			n • n	0			
ITS ^	Name	Date modified	Type				
	CANLOV	11-02-2019 14:30	Microsoft Exc	el C			
	in test.txt	14-04-2020 20:16	Test Docume	nt -			
IntelligentD							
Gataset			_				
hie name	CANLOW			~			
		Open	Cancel				
	Annary March CopyDetect DCS DMTD HeimetDete IntelligentD dataset	Answy March CopyPeer CopyPeer CopyPeer CopyPeer Net of CopyPeer Net of CopyPeer Net of CopyPee	Manny College 11 - 2019 Main March College 1 - 2019 College 2 - 2019 Colle	Marce 10.02.2013.Mail Monemation Grapherat Mode 202.20.30 Text Decommon Grapherat Mode 202.20.30 Text Decommon Grapherat Mode 202.20.30 Text Decommon Mode 202.20.30 Text Decommon Text Decommon Mode 202.20.30 Text Decommon Text Decommon	There are CALCOMENT OF CALCOMENT. OF CALCOMENT OF CALCOMENT OF CALCOME	Marcin 11:00,2019 04.00 Monument Event C. Graphicat Model Model 02020 010 Note Droumment Graphicat Model 02020 010 Note Droumment Note Droumment Model 02020 010 Note Droumment Note Droumment Note Droumment Model 02020 010 Note Droumment Note Droumment Note Droumment Model 02020 010 Note Droumment Note Droumment Note Droumment	Marcel 10:00 /00 /00 Marcel Marceel Marcel Marcel <td< td=""></td<>

In above screen I am uploading 'CAN.csv' dataset and after uploading dataset will get below screen







A Peer Reviewed Research Journal

Now click on 'Run KNN Algorithm To Detect Anomaly' button to build KNN classifier train model to detect anomaly and evaluate its performance based on 4 indices

Crossref

Upload CAN Bus Dataset	KNN Classifier Performance Details :
E:/manoj/March/IntelligentDataDrivenMode	
Run KNN Algorithm To Detect Anomaly	KNN False Alarm Rate : 0.165 KNN Correct Rejection Rate : 89.378787878787878
Run Decision Tree To Detect Anomaly	
Run Conventional SVM To detect Anomaly	
Propose SSO with SVM To detect Anomaly	
Classifiers Performance Graph	
Predict Anomaly from Test Data	

In above screen we got 4 indices values for KNN algorithm and now click on 'Run Decision Tree To Detect Anomaly' button to evaluate decision tree performance

Upload CAN Bus Dataset	ĸ	N Classifier Performance	Details :		
E:/manoj/March/IntelligentDataDri	ivenModel/dataset/C <mark>K</mark>	N Miss Rate : 0.7			
Run KNN Algorithm To Detect An	K	N False Alarm Rate : N Correct Rejection Rate cision Tree Classifier Perf			
Run Decision Tree To Detect Anor	De	cision Tree Hit Rate cision Tree Miss Rate	: 92.67857142857143 : 0.1		
Run Conventional SVM To detect	Anomaly De	cision Tree False Alarm R cision Tree Correct Reject	ate : 0.195 ion Rate : 94.7457885807510	8	
Propose SSO with SVM To detect	Anomaly				
Classifiers Performance Graph					
Predict Anomaly from Test Data					

In above screen we got decision tree data and now click on 'Run Conventional SVM To detect Anomaly' button to evaluate conventional SVM performance.

Upload CAN Bus Dataset	KNN Classifier Performance Details :
E:/manoj/March/IntelligentDataDrivenModel/dataset/	KNN His Rate : 91.57142857142857 KNN Miss Rate : 0.71 KNN False Alarm Rate : 0.165
Run KNN Algorithm To Detect Anomaly	KNN Correct Rejection Rate : 89.87878787878788 Decision Tree Classifier Performance Details :
Run Decision Tree To Defect Anomaly	Decisiou Tree Hit Rate : 92.67557142857143 Decision Tree Mits Rate : 0.1 Decision Tree False Alavan Rate : 0.195
Run Conventional SVM To detect Anomaly	Decision Tree False Alarm Rate : 0.195 Decision Tree Correct Rojection Rate : 94.74578858075103 Conventional SVM Classifier Performance Details :
Contraction of the local distance of the loc	Conventional SVM Hit Rate 177.0 Conventional SVM Mixs Rate 10.0
Chrometer retrormanter or apar	Conventional SVM False Alarm Rato : 0.644 Conventional SVM Correct Rejection Rate : 38.5
Predict Anomaly from Test Data	

In above screen we got SVM performance data and now click on 'Propose SSO with SVM To detect Anomaly' button to run propose SSO with SVM classifier and evaluate its performance. (Note: when u run SSO then application will open 4 empty windows and you just close newly open empty window and keep working from first window only).

Upload CAN Bus Dataset	KNN Classifier Performance Details :	
:: manoj/March/IntelligentDataDrivenModel/datase	KNN Hir Rate : 91.57142857142857 40 KNN Miss Rate : 0.71 KNN False Mare Rate : 0.165	
Run KNN Algorithm To Detect Anomaly	ENV Correct Rejection Rate: 19.0373787878788 Decision Tree Classifier Performance Details :	
Run Decision Tree To Detect Anomaly	Decision Tree Hir Rate : 92.67557142357143 Decision Tree Mirs Rate : 0.1 Decision Tree Falva Alam Rate : 0.195	
Run Conventional SVM To detect Anomaly	Decision Tree Faise Alarm Kate = 10.195 Decision Tree Correct Registria Rate : 94.74578558075103 Conventional SVM Classifier Performance Details :	
Propose SSO with SVM To detect Anomaly	Conventional SVM Hit Rate : 77.0 Conventional SVM Miss Rate : 0.0	
Classifiers Performance Graph	Conventional SVM False Alarm Rate : 0.644 Conventional SVM Correct Rejection Rate : 38.5 Propose SSO Classifier Performance Details :	
Predict Anomaly from Test Data	Propose SSO Hin Rate : 100.0 Propose SSO Mine Rate : 0.407000000000000000 Propose SSO Pine Alarma Rate : 0.237	
	Propose SSO Correct Rejection Rate : 100.0	

In above screen for SSO we got performance metric as 100% and MR and FR is not mandatory so we can ignore as said in paper. Now click on 'Classifiers Performance Graph' button to get performance graph between all classifiers





A Peer Reviewed Research Journal



Crossref

In above graph propose SSO has given high performance compare to other algorithms. In above graph y-axis represents HR, MR, FR and CR values. Now click on 'Predict Anomaly from Test Data' button to upload test data and predict it label

Upload CAN Bus Dataset	🖡 Open	×	
Eo'manoj/March/IntelligentDatal	+ + + + + = + IntelligentDataDrivenModel + dataset		
Run KNN Algorithm To Detect /			
-	ITS A Name	Date modified Type	
Run Decision Tree To Detect Ar	January Di CANLON	11-02-2019 14:30 Microsoft Excel C-	
	March Intertited	14-04-2020 20:16 Text Document	
Run Conventional SVM To deter			
Propose SSO with SVM To dete	DCS		
Propose SSO with SVM 16 dete	Devito HeimetDete		
Classifiers Performance Graph	intelligentD		
classifictor retrormance or apa	dataset		
Predict Anomaly from Test Data	• • •	*	
	File name: test.bd		
		Open Cancel	

In above screen I am uploading 'test.txt' file and now click on 'Open' button to predict uploaded test file class label.

E:/manojMarch/IntelligentDataDrivenModelidataset/test.txt test file loaded X=18.12198304e+07.0.00000000e+00.3.73746400e-01.6.666666667e-01	
9.99195502e.01 4.75199583e.011. Predicted = No Anomaly Detected	
X=18.12197854e+07.0.0000000e+00.3.72408770e-01.4.44444444e-01	
9.99195502e-01 4.74202132e-01], Predicted = No Anomaly Detected	
X=18.48596404++07.0.00066000++00.7.60640198+.01.1.13133333+.01	
9.91360094e-01 2.65734846e-01], Predicted = No Anomaly Detected	
X-IS.4SS90424e+07.2.00000000e+00.0.0000000e+00.0.0000000e+00	
0.0000000e+00 0.0000000e+00], Predicted = No Anomaly Detected	
X=[8.48890437e+07.3.00000000e+00.2.32949408e-01.4.22799671e-01	
0.00000000e+00 0.00000000e+00], Predicted = No Anomaly Detected	
X-I8.48890451e+07.3.00000000e+00.2.32949408e-01.4.22799671e-01	
0.00000000+00 0.0000000e+00], Predicted = No Anomaly Detected	
X=18.48890460e+07.4.00000000e+00.6.15465062e.02.7.50000000e.01	
0.0000000e+00 0.0000000e+00], Predicted = Amountly Detected	
X=IX.4SS90463++07 1.00000000e+00 1.00000000e+00 1.00000000e+00	
0.00000000e+00 0.0000000e+00], Predicted = No Anomaly Detected	
30.0 37	
	19. 2019/2012.01 42. 2121/2023.01 [Product-I N: N. Anamoly Directed Virght2179/Str. 41. 2121/2023.01 [Product-I N: N. Anamoly Directed Virght2179/Str. 41. 2121/2023.01 [Product-I N: N. Anamoly Directed Virght2189/Str. 41. 2019/Str.

In above screen in text area we can see uploaded test data and its predicted class label. All records contains normal packet data accept one record. So by using machine learning algorithms we can analyse packets and if packet contains attack then we ignore processing such packets.

CONCLUSION

This paper proposed a novel intelligent and secured anomaly detection model for cyber attack detection and avoidance in the electric vehicles. The proposed model is constructed based on an improved support vector machine model reinforced by the MSSO algorithm. From the cyber security point of view, the proposed model could successfully detect malicious behaviors while letting the trusted message frames broadcast in the CAN protocol. The high HR% and FR% indices prove the true positive and true negative decisions made by the proposed model. Regarding the MR% and CR% indices, the very low values which most of them are around the





Crossref

A Peer Reviewed Research Journal

upper and lower bounds of the message frame frequency, show the highly trustable performance of this model. The authors will assess the effect of other cyberattacks on the performance of different anomaly detection models in the future works.

REFERANCES

[1] A. Monot ; N. Navet ; B. Bavoux ; F. Simonot-Lion, "Multisource Software on Multicore Automotive ECUs—Combining Runnable Sequencing With Task Scheduling", IEEE Trans. Industrial Electronics, vol. 59, no. 10. Pp. 3934-3942, 2012.

[2] T.Y. Moon; S.H. Seo; J.H. Kim; S.H. Hwang; J. Wook Jeon, "Gateway system with diagnostic function for LIN, CAN and FlexRay", 2007 International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems, pp. 2844 – 2849, 2007.

[3] B. Groza; S. Murvay, "Efficient Protocols for Secure Broadcast in Controller Area Networks", IEEE Trans. Industrial Informatics, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 2034-2042, 2013.

[4] B. Mohandes, R. Al Hammadi, W. Sanusi, T. Mezher, S. El Khatib, "Advancing cyber–physical sustainability through integrated analysis of smart power systems: A case study on electric vehicles", International Journal of Critical

Infrastructure Protection, vol. 23, pp. 33-48, 2018.

[5] G. Loukas, E. Karapistoli, E. Panaousis, P. Sarigiannidis, T. Vuong, A taxonomy and survey of cyber-physical intrusion detection approaches for vehicles, Ad Hoc Networks, vol. 84, pp. 124-147, 2019.

[6] Hoppe T, Kiltz S, Dittmann J. Security threats to automotive can networks. practical examples and selected short-term countermeasures. Reliab Eng Syst Saf vol. 96, no. 1, pp. 11–25, 2011.

[7] Schulze S, Pukall M, Saake G, Hoppe T, Dittmann J. On the need of data management in automotive systems. In: BTW, vol. 144; pp. 217– 26, 2009.

[8] Ling C, Feng D. An algorithm for detection of malicious messages on can buses. 2012 national conference on information technology and computer science. Atlantis Press; 2012.

[9] Oguma H, Yoshioka X, Nishikawa M, Shigetomi R, Otsuka A, Imai H. New attestation based security architecture for in-vehicle communication. In: Global Telecommunications Conference, 2008. IEEE GLOBECOM 2008. IEEE. IEEE; pp. 1–6, 2008.







A Peer Reviewed Research Journal



[10] L. Pan, X. Zheng, H. X. Chen, T. Luan, L. Batten, "Cyber security attacks to modern vehicular systems", Journal of Information Security and Applications, vol. 36, pp. 90-100, October 2017.