



# Applications and Capabilities of ChatGPT: A Comprehensive Review

Prof. Sesham Anand,

Professor, Dept of Computer Science and Engineering,

Maturi Venkata Subba Rao (MVSr) Engineering College, Hyderabad, India,

anand\_cse@mvsrec.edu.in

**Abstract**— ChatGPT, an advanced language model powered by the GPT-3.5 architecture, has gained significant attention due to its remarkable natural language processing capabilities. This paper provides a comprehensive review of the various applications and capabilities of ChatGPT. We explore its potential in diverse domains, including customer support, content generation, language translation, educational assistance, and creative writing. Additionally, we discuss the challenges and ethical considerations associated with the deployment of ChatGPT. This review aims to provide an understanding of ChatGPT's versatility and impact on different industries, while highlighting the opportunities and limitations for future development and research.

## I. INTRODUCTION

ChatGPT is a deep learning language model developed by OpenAI, which is capable of generating human-like text based on the input provided. ChatGPT can be used for various natural language processing tasks, including question answering, text generation, dialogue generation, and text classification, among others. It is an ideal tool for creating content from a different perspective or brainstorming content ideas. It is a natural language processing (NLP) tool that can be customized and fine-tuned to the specific requirements of any user or domain. Retraining ChatGPT involves adjusting various parameters such as word choice, sentence structure, and even word meanings to maximize its capabilities for the intended task.

In this section Overview of ChatGPT and its underlying architecture along with brief history and advancements in language models are discussed.

The Applications of ChatGPT are also presented, 1 Customer Support - ChatGPT as a virtual customer service representative does the following:

- Automating responses to frequently asked questions
- Improving customer experience and reducing response times
- Content Generation - Generating blog posts, articles, and social media content
- Assisting content creators and journalists
- Enhancing creativity and productivity in writing workflows

- Language Translation - Instant translation between languages
- Overcoming language barriers in global communication
- Supporting multilingual customer interactions
- Educational Assistance - Personalized tutoring and homework help
- Enabling interactive and adaptive learning experiences
- Addressing the needs of diverse learners
- Creative Writing and Storytelling - Collaborating with writers and enhancing story development
- Generating plot ideas and character profiles - Assisting in screenplay and scriptwriting
- Capabilities and Advancements
- Contextual Understanding
- Capturing and maintaining context in conversations
- Coherent responses and logical reasoning
- Language Fluency and Grammar
- Producing grammatically correct and coherent text
- Improving readability and stylistic variations
- Knowledge and Fact-checking
- Access to a vast knowledge base and fact verification
- Assisting users with accurate and reliable information
- Personalization and User Profiling
- Customizing responses based on user preferences and history
- Adapting to individual writing styles and tones

Challenges and Ethical Considerations are as followed:

- Bias and Fairness
- Mitigating biases in training data and responses
- Ensuring fairness and inclusivity in language generation
- Misinformation and Disinformation
- Addressing the potential for spreading false information
- Implementing fact-checking mechanisms
- Privacy and Data Security
- Safeguarding user data and conversation history
- Ensuring compliance with privacy regulations

## II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Here, texts are generated to fulfill a communicative goal [1], such as to provide support in decision making, summarize content, translate between languages, converse



with humans, make specific texts more accessible, as well as to entertain users or encourage them to change their behaviour. Compared to the survey of [2], the overview is a more comprehensive and updated coverage of neural network methods and evaluation centered around the novel problem definitions and task formulations.

Constrained text generation is useful in many scenarios, such as incorporating in-domain terminology in machine translation [3], avoiding generic and meaningless responses in dialogue systems incorporating ground-truth text fragments (such as semantic attributes, object annotations) in image caption generation.

There have been many studies on the effectiveness of chatbots in various contexts. For example, some studies have found that chatbots can be a useful tool for customer service, as they can handle a high volume of queries and provide quick and accurate responses. For example, Lei Cui and Shaohan Huang developed the SuperAgent [4], a customer service chatbot for e-commerce websites, which utilizes more large-scale, public, and crowdsourced

customer data compared to traditional customer service chatbots. Another example is leveraging a chatbot in a customer care support center [5], which can provide better and more accurate responses to a customer's needs. However, there are also limitations to the use of chatbots. Some users may find the interactions to be artificial or impersonal, and there is a risk that chatbots may not be able to fully understand or respond to more complex or nuanced input. In this case, some researchers have proposed a new method to identify customer emotions during conversations, such as happiness, anger, sadness, fear, and neutral states. With the input of customer sentiment, the proportion of chatbots taking correct actions has been greatly increased, thereby improving customer service optimization KPIs [5]. Another very popular approach is the application of Reinforcement Learning (RL) to chatbots, in order to improve their response generation, dialogue management, and response evaluation by maximizing a reward signal, which can be human feedback or automatic evaluation metrics. For example, Satinder and Diane applied RL to the problem of optimizing dialogue policy in a spoken dialogue system; their method employs relatively few exploratory dialogues and directly computes an optimal policy in a space that may contain thousands of policies [6].

Compared with traditional machine translation systems, ChatGPT can incorporate additional information through the prompts to further improve its performance. While previous studies have shown that ChatGPT has great robust translation capabilities [7] we believe that we can further enhance its performance by incorporating domain-specific guidance. To this end, we propose Domain-Specific Prompts (DSP) that identify the domain information of translated sentences in prompts to facilitate ChatGPT's generalization.

In-context learning [8] has shown its remarkable ability for many NLP tasks [9]. To further explore the capabilities of the ChatGPT, we conduct experiments with different sample selection strategies. Specifically, we evaluate the performance of few-shot machine translation in the following three directions: English⇒Chinese,

English⇒Romanian, and English⇒German in Flores-200. We conducted experiments mainly with randomly sampled demonstrations from development sets in the 1-shot and 3-shot settings.

In 1972 the PLATO (Programmable Logic for Automated Teaching Operations) adaptive learning system was introduced [10]. This platform for personalized education was the first of its kind to be made readily available. Don Bitzer, a professor of electrical engineering at the University of Illinois, created a program called PLATO that would permit one thousand concurrent users to access a mainframe [11,12] Each student can access various online language, music, math, and other courses and receives customized computer feedback on their progress. With PLATO, students could complete the same tasks as they would have in a conventional classroom in a significantly shorter amount of time. Most students found this format more engaging than long lectures[12].

several implications for the communication sciences [15] Some authors suggest that collaborations between human intelligence and AI have ethical and practical concerns that must be addressed [13]. In this sense, research has concluded that AI-generated poetry, but enhanced by human intervention, scored higher in perceived beauty. The latter, compared to AI-only generated poetry or human-authored texts .

Some ethical debates on the topic may include data privacy, biases, safety and transparency, and intellectual property issues[13]In the case of creative writing, research suggests that people may not reliably differentiate between AI-generated poetry and those written by humans [14].

Inherent biases in language- Human language is a reflection of society, containing various biases, stereotypes, and assumptions. Separating useful patterns from these biases can be challenging as they are deeply ingrained in language structures and expressions. [17,18,19,20]

Ambiguity of cultural norms- Cultural norms and values vary significantly across communities and regions. Determining which norms to encode in AI models is a complex task that requires a nuanced understanding of diverse cultural perspectives.[19]

Subjectivity of fairness- Fairness is a subjective concept with various interpretations. Eliminating bias from AI models requires defining "fair" in the context of applications, which is challenging due to the diverse range of stakeholders and perspectives. [20]

Continuously evolving language and culture-Language and culture constantly evolve, with new expressions, norms, and biases emerging over time. Keeping AI models up-to-date with these changes and ensuring they remain unbiased requires continuous monitoring and adaptation.

Fairness considerations in the use of ChatGPT have been extensively highlighted [21], yet only a few works provide a quantitative analyses of its fairness performance. test the model fairness on two language datasets which are used to assess bias in the context of general question answering and text generation.



Automated fact-checking can include text analysis performed by AI, which is useful in classifying news as true or false. In these cases, in addition to resources based on word occurrences and word relationships (both semantic and syntactic), it is also necessary to have resources based on how humans perform fact-checking. That is, the automated classification of information examines human behavior in the process of manually detecting disinformation [22].

In fact-checking conducted by humans, contextual factors are considered, including the historical background, individuals involved, locations, and other relevant specifics related to the event. Thus, when automated detection of disinformation includes these characteristics, it is closer to human accuracy.

According to [23], automated technologies have limitations in evaluating individual statements. Current AI systems excel at identifying simple statements and

Federated Learning. Federated Learning [25,26,27] is able to consider privacy protection and data security issues when training AI-generative models. Compared with traditional machine learning using a centralized approach to training, federated learning achieves joint multi-party

learning training by circulating and processing intermediate encrypted data without local raw data out of the library.

FedAvg [27] proposes a distributed framework that allows many users to train a model simultaneously. There is no need to upload any private data to the server during the training process, effectively reducing the privacy risk associated with data aggregation from traditional machine learning sources. Limited by communication costs, large models are difficult to be directly applied in federation learning. Fedboost [25] addresses the above challenges by integrating learning and demonstrates the convergence of the proposed federation integration method.

Federated learning has been applied in many ways [26]. For example, it can be extended to include enterprises across organizations in a federation framework. A bank with data on customer purchasing power can collaborate with an e-commerce platform with data on product characteristics to recommend products.

## CONCLUSION

- Summary of the applications and capabilities of ChatGPT
- Discussion of future opportunities and challenges
- Importance of responsible deployment and ongoing research

By exploring the applications and capabilities of ChatGPT, this paper provides valuable insights into the potential impact of advanced language models on various industries and their implications for society. As the technology continues to evolve, it is crucial to address challenges and ethical considerations to ensure responsible and beneficial deployment. The review serves as a foundation for further research and development to unlock the full potential of ChatGPT and other similar language models.

## REFERENCES:

- [1] Ehud Reiter. 2019. Natural language generation challenges for explainable ai. arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.08794.
- [2] Albert Gatt and Emiel Kraahmer. 2018. Survey of the state of the art in natural language generation: Core tasks, applications and evaluation. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 61:65–170.
- [3] Matt Post and David Vilar. 2018. Fast lexically constrained decoding with dynamic beam allocation for neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 1314–1324.
- [4] Cui, L.; Huang, S.; Wei, F.; Tan, C.; Duan, C.; Zhou, M. Superagent: A customer service chatbot for e-commerce websites. In *Proceedings of the ACL 2017, System Demonstrations*, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 30 July–4 August 2017; pp. 97–102.
- [5] Pawlik, L.; Plaza, M.; Denziak, S.; Boksa, E. A method for improving bot effectiveness by recognising implicit customer intent in contact centre conversations. *Speech Commun.* **2022**, *143*, 33–45.



Fig 1.1 Capabilities of ChatGPT

assertions, but they struggle with more complex ones. The same limitation applies to expressions, in which context and culture are necessary.

This model aims to harness AI's capability for large-scale processing, while utilizing human intelligence for complex tasks beyond AI's reach, such as language understanding and ensuring fairness and applicability within the system. One significant advantage of AI-based systems is their capacity to comprehensively analyze vast amounts of content, surpassing human capabilities [24].



- [6] Singh, S.; Litman, D.; Kearns, M.; Walker, M. Optimizing dialogue management with reinforcement learning: Experiments with the NJFun system. *J. Artif. Intell. Res.* 2002, 16, 105–133.
- [7] Amr Hendy, Mohamed Abdelrehim, Amr Sharaf, et al. 2023. [How good are gpt models at machine translation? a comprehensive evaluation.](#) arXiv preprint.
- [8] Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, et al. 2020. [Language models are few-shot learners.](#) In *NeurIPS*.
- [9] Pengfei Liu, Weizhe Yuan, Jinlan Fu, Zhengbao Jiang, Hiroaki Hayashi, and Graham Neubig. 2023. [Pretrain, prompt, and predict: A systematic survey of prompting methods in natural language processing.](#) *ACM Comput. Surv.*
- [10] Poorian, M. (2016). [Managing Identity Development for International Students in a Malaysian Public University](#)(Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia). <http://eprints.utm.my/id/eprint/78162/1/MahmoudPoorianPFP2016.pdf>
- [11] Chan, C. K. Y., & Lee, K. K. (2023). [The AI generation gap: Are Gen Z students more interested in adopting generative AI such as ChatGPT in teaching and learning than their Gen X and Millennial Generation teachers?](#) arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.02878. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2305.02878>
- [12] Jayanthi, V., & Rajalakshmi, A. (2022). [Impact of Online Learning Experience on Student Satisfaction Through Student Engagement.](#) *International Journal of Health Sciences*, 6(3), 840–848. <https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6n3.5320>
- [13] Korteling, J. E. (Hans), van de Boer-Visschedijk, G. C., Blankendaal, R. A. M., Boonekamp, R. C., & Eikelboom, A. R. (2021). [Human-versus Artificial Intelligence.](#) *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 4. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frai.2021.622364>
- [14] Köbis, N., & Mossink, L. D. (2021). [Artificial intelligence versus Maya Angelou: Experimental evidence that people cannot differentiate AI-generated from human-written poetry.](#) *Computers in Human Behavior*, 114, 106553. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106553>
- [15] Li, Y., Yu, M., & Li, S. (2022). [Technology or content: Which factor is more important in people's evaluation of artificial intelligence news?](#) *Telematics and Informatics Reports*, 8, 100031. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teler.2022.100031>
- [16] P. Bourdieu, *Language and symbolic power*, Harvard University Press, 1991.
- [17] J. Friedman, T. Hastie, and R. Tibshirani. [Additive logistic regression: a statistical view of boosting.](#) *Annals of Statistics*, 28(2):337–407, 2000.
- [18] N. Fairclough, *Language and power*, Pearson Education, 2001.
- [19] G. Lakoff, M. Johnson, *Metaphors we live by*, University of Chicago press, 2008.
- [20] J. H. Hill, *The everyday language of white racism*, John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- [21] Harsha Nori, Nicholas King, Scott Mayer McKinney, Dean Carignan, and Eric Horvitz. 2023. [Capabilities of gpt-4 on medical challenge problems.](#) arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.13375.
- [22] Školkay, Andrej, and Juraj Filin. 2019. [A comparison of fake news detecting and fact-checking AI based solutions.](#) *Studia Medioznawcze* 4: 365–83.
- [23] Kertysova, Katarina. 2018. [Artificial intelligence and disinformation: How AI changes the way disinformation is produced, disseminated, and can be countered.](#) *Security and Human Rights* 29: 55–81.
- [24] Babakar, Mevan, and Will Moy. 2016. [The State of Automated Factchecking. How to Make Factchecking Dramatically More Effective with Technology We Have Now.](#) London: Full Fact.
- [25] Jenny Hamer, Mehryar Mohri, and Ananda Theertha Suresh. 2020. [Fedboost: A communication-efficient algorithm for federated learning.](#) In *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 3973–3983.
- [26] Li Li, Yuxi Fan, Mike Tse, and Kuo-Yi Lin. 2020. [A review of applications in federated learning.](#) *Computers & Industrial Engineering* 149 (2020), 106854.
- [27] Brendan McMahan, Eider Moore, Daniel Ramage, Seth Hampson, and Blaise Aguera y Arcas. 2017. [Communicationefficient learning of deep networks from decentralized data.](#) In *Artificial intelligence and statistics*. PMLR, 1273–1282.